

19 November 2007

The Police Commissioner Mr. Mick Keelty

Australian Federal Police, GPO Box 401 Canberra ACT 2601

Dear Sir,

Re: Does AFP stand for Australian Federal Police or Australian Frame up Police?

(Political Corruption and Religious Discrimination in AFP)

“An Important Report”

I respectfully write to you regarding my questions, complaint, advices and suggestions. I try to express myself frankly in brief and go straight to the point to avoid wasting your time:

The subject is about corruption, discrimination, unjust policy and misconduct in AFP. There are many examples, I'll only mention two examples in this letter. I will explain two terrorist suspect cases to compare with each other to see whether or not AFP is involved with corruption, discrimination, unjust policy and misconduct.

1. When the targets of the terrorist acts are non Muslim:

In Dr. Mohammad Haneef case, the targets of terrorist acts were non Muslim. The case of UK airport attack was a case that most of the targets were non Muslims.

Few points of the Process:

- A.** The Police arrested the suspect and took him in the custody.
- B.** The arrested person was an accused, was not a guilty victim. He was just a suspect.
- C.** The reaction of the Police was very quick and strong.
- D.** The suspect got a punishment. His visa was cancelled and he lost his job and career.
- E.** The suspect did not get any reward or was not given a better job.
- F.** The media made such a big deal and a storm of advertising. So the image, identity and religion of the suspect were revealed. The media coverage relating to Dr. Haneef had a negative impact on Australia's Muslim community.

2. When the targets of terrorist acts are Muslims:

As you are aware a terrorist act has occurred in Sydney on 14 December 2002 and the target of terrorist act was a Muslim man. You are the Police commissioner and you know very well about this terrorist act but I want to explain shortly about it for others who may read this letter.

Few terrorists planned to attack a Muslim man who was not an Australian citizen. The terrorists while driving a vehicle attacked the vehicle of the this Muslim man and they stopped his car. First they bitted him very hard then they tried to kill him but the target tried

to across the road to go inside the petrol station to place them in front of the recording camera. So the terrorist changed their mind to kill the target. They stole his car and after destroying the finger prints and evidences they left the car on the road few days later. While the Ambulance was taking the target to the hospital, he gave the registration of the vehicle of the terrorists to the police. Although the target was injured badly but fortunately did not die. The target is still in Australia and he is still waiting for the result of the investigation for five years!

Now let's compare and see whether or not the Police reaction to the terrorist acts (Muslim target) is equal with terrorist acts (Non Muslim target):

Few points of the process:

- A.** The police did not move to arrest the suspect. The police just called the driver of the vehicle and asked the driver to go to police station. Wow! It was very respectful! So the driver went to the police station and then was arrested and interviewed by police the day following the terrorist act.

I have read the report that parliamentary secretary for police, Mr. Tony Stewart MP on behalf of Minister for Police (NSW), Mr. Carl Scully MP has sent to the target's solicitor on 20 July 2006. That report says that: **At that time, a Form of Demand under the *Police Powers (Vehicles) Act 1998* was served upon**

In response, stated that was unable to describe the passengers in the car, upon this, police charged for failing to disclose passenger details.

On 10 January 2003 the driver entered a plea of guilty to that offence at Court and was placed on a bond to be of good behaviour for a period of 12 months.

- B.** The arrested person was not just an accused person like Dr. Haneef. The driver on the day of the interrogation has admitted that was driving three men who were involved with terrorist act and helping them. So the driver was guilty, but after few hours was released. The police did not take the driver of the terrorist vehicle in the custody even for one night! The terrorist was released after few hours. 25 days later the court has approved that the driver is guilty. But now the point is that Police released the driver after few hours. The driver has noticed that the police was not much serious about this case, so the driver was brave enough to express that is unable to describe the terrorists.

So according the information has been given to the target, the interrogation has been something similar to this:

Police interrogation dated 15 December 2002

Police: You have been driving three men that attacked a man. You were waiting and watching your passengers to finish their terrorist operation. The terrorist act was unfinished. Then later you escaped from the crime scene with them.

Driver: Yes it is true.

Police: So what is their name?

Driver: I don't know.

Police: You might be kidding. How can it be possible not to know the name of your friends who you were helping them?

Driver: I don't lie.

Police: Who are they?

Driver: I don't know.

Police: But you have been driving them. You have been cooperating with them and the injured target has stated that he had heard from you were screaming and encouraging them.

Driver: No I haven't. I am innocent.

Police: Can you please tell us who they are? And where they live?

Driver: No I can't.

Police: Can you describe the men that you were driving them for this operation?

Driver: No, I am unable to describe them.

Police: Ok, now you can go home.

Driver: Thank you, bye!

25 days later, on 10 January, the driver entered a plea of guilty to that offence at the court. Please compare every single moment of this story with the story of Dr. Haneef.

- C.** The reaction of Police not only was not quick and strong enough, but also took few years to report the matter to the target.

A detective has told the target that she is going to get the copy of the surveillance record from service station to keep as evidence. The image of one of the terrorists who followed the target and entered the service station has been recorded clearly.

The target has been waiting for the report of the police about three years but he didn't hear anything from the police. He has asked his solicitor to send a letter to the Minister for Police to see what was the result of the investigation? The reply letter of Mr. Tony Stewart MP dated 20 July 2006 explained that the driver was unable to describe the passengers. The fingerprints will remain on the police databases and regularly scanned against new fingerprints for possible matches.

So what happened with the surveillance tape of petrol station? How come has not Police given that image to the media and request people for help? Answer on Page 5

- D. & E. & F.** Not only the driver of the terrorists did not lose any job or career, but also was encouraged and was given a new better job. The driver was employed by St. George Bank as a teller. On Wednesday 26 September 2007 the target of the terrorist act went to the St. George Bank and he suddenly noticed that he was being served by the terrorist. In that moment the terrorist saw the details of his address and privacy. It was too late. The terrorists at the moment know all details of the target and he might be attacked again. I don't think that St. George Bank CEO, Mr. Paul Fegan knew about this terrorist otherwise he wouldn't give such a position to such person to be able to have access to privacy of the people. The reason I said I did not think that St. George Bank was aware because this terrorist act was a case that the Government had already decided to keep it secret for some political reasons. The Government didn't want the public to know about this case. So obviously AFP could not give the image

of the terrorist to the media and ask people for help, nor the warning report to the St. George Bank. It was hidden from Media, Banks and other departments.

More importantly there is a part of this case that I have not mentioned. We thought it was better not to mention it for now, maybe AFP wakes up and tries to correct the mistakes. That part of the case is a big scandal for AFP. The target has found the evidence about it. Our aim is to fix the problems and correct the mistakes and make conditions better, not to damage the image of AFP more than what AFP has already damaged itself. None of us are innocent. Human being makes mistake. If we don't admit our mistake we lose the opportunity to correct ourselves and to improve, so that would be a bigger mistake.

Dear Commissioner I have few questions and suggestions:

Instead of the terrorist act of 14 December 2002, if there was a terrorist act that the target was Non Muslim, would the police behave the same?

1. If Dr. Mohammad Haneef or Mr. Izhar Ul Haque would be the driver of the terrorists and the target of the operation would be a Non Muslim, could the driver be free to go home few hours after he would fail to disclose passenger details?
Would the Government permit the terrorist who has been guilty at the court to get a position in St George Bank to access the privacy of the people including the target of the operation? Would the Government would allow the terrorist to finish an unfinished terrorist acts by giving access of information of Australians through St. George Bank to place more people in danger?
2. Three unknown terrorists are free and enjoying of their life in Australia although the police has the surveillance tape from service station. Please do not tell me that the Detective has forgotten to go to petrol station to collect the tape otherwise it would be another new big bungle in AFP. The detective has emphasised and mentioned to the target that she is going to collect the record.
Still is not too late to clean the mess and to save the life of that Muslim target. If the policy of the Government has changed you can give the image of the terrorist to the Media and request the public for help? But if the policy still is the same and the Government prefers to hide this terrorist act from the public for the same political reasons that only you and we know about them, then we do not expect you to do anything. We understand your difficult situation that you can't go against the politics.
3. Does AFP works 100% independently or it might be sometime and somehow under influence of any hidden and secret group or individual?
4. Don't you think there is possibility that some corrupted part of AFP follows the policy of a secret group. Something similar to a political Mafia?
5. Can AFP independently without being under influence of anyone investigate the people who have appointed the commissioner if AFP finds out an evidence of offence?
6. Are you aware that there is corruption in both state and Federal police?
7. Do you admit that there is a religious discrimination in AFP? Do you admit that if AFP really wanted to find those three terrorists, could get the information from the

driver easily or by advertising the photo could arrest them. But AFP didn't want to arrest them.

Dear Sir, you are the Police Commissioner of Australia. So you must be very brave to confess and admit the truth even if it will turn against your personal benefits.

Australians expect honesty from their Police Commissioner. If the Police is not honest, who else can be honest? If Police doesn't tell the truth to the people, how can they expect the suspects to tell them the truth?

8. Do you agree that you need to correct the mistakes and problems of the police? Or you deny it and believe that there is no corruption?
9. After the scandal of Dr. Mohammad Haneef's case, do you know that the image of AFP has been badly damaged? Do you know that some people even saying that:
“Does AFP stand for Australian Federal Police or Australian Frame up Police?”
10. Do you agree that you need to work hard to bring the positive image of AFP back to that respectful organisation? If you don't admit that the image of AFP has been damaged, you will not try to fix it up. So first it is important to admit it. No need to confess it to me or to the public. I am not trying to put you down. My intention is not to ask you to put yourself down in front of the public. Just say that to yourself and admit it while you are alone and thinking to yourself. Then please try to clean up the mess from this organisation. Don't be scared of the political Mafia. You must not put AFP down, you must care about the future and the image of AFP more than your personal image. It doesn't matter if they sack you. Even if they ask you to resign, but one day the Australians will find out why you have been sacked. On that day you could be a hero. If you don't admit the bungles, we will never have a trustable Police in Australia. We will face corruption much worse than recent Victoria's Police corruption crisis if you don't admit that AFP needs a Revolution to be a clean and honest organisation. This revolution obviously will positively affect states polices including Victoria in the long term period. Australians expect their commissioner to fight with the corruption and definitely will support you. God and a nation will support you if you fight with the evil.
11. Do you know that the religious discrimination in AFP has concerned some Muslims Who think they might be framed up by AFP. They think AFP might place some materials in their home to frame up them, something similar to Dr. Mohammad Haneef's case, when AFP officer wrote in his diary. Will you be able to make these issues clear in the public? Can you explain to the Public and make people to trust AFP again like before? What you have already commented, has not been enough.
12. My most important question: Will you answer all of my questions?

I appreciate for your time to read my letter. May God guide all of us to the right path and hope to have better Police forces in the future.

Kind regards

Sheikh Haron

Cc:

- **Human Rights & Equal Opportunity Commission**
- **Prime Minister Mr. John Howard**
- **Opposition Leader Mr. Kevin Rudd**
- **Attorney-General Mr. Philip Ruddock**
- **Shadow Attorney-General Senator Joe Ludwig**
- **VIC Premier**
- **Minister for Police (VIC)**
- **NSW Premier, Mr. Morris Iemma**
- **Minister for Police (NSW)**
- **QLD Premier**
- **Minister for Police (QLD)**
- **WA Premier**
- **Minister for Police (WA)**
- **SA Premier**
- **Minister for Police (SA)**
- **St George Bank CEO, Mr. Paul Fegan**